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Ipsative - meaning

From psychology
Forced choice scale
Mainly used in personality tests
Scale 2-4 items – equally positive

e.g.
I enjoy social events
I like to stay organised

No obvious more desirable quality to choose from
- Responders have to think more carefully
- Answers (hopefully) more truthful

(Psychometrictest, 2017)
Ipsative Feedback

Compares current performance to previous performance(s)
Emphasis on personal progress
Students aim at achieving a personal best

(Hughes, 2017)

How can I do that if…
I can’t remember student’s previous work
Marking is anonymised

Feedback History Tool (CW coversheet)
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WESTMINSTER JUMPS 27 PLACES IN THE GUARDIAN UNIVERSITY GUIDE 2019

81 out of 121 overall

The University is extremely grateful to all colleagues for your ongoing work to deliver an excellent student experience, high quality teaching and the best outcomes for our graduates.

But ....
Feedback and Teaching

Satisfied with feedback:
104 out of 121

Satisfied with teaching:
117 out of 121

(The Guardian, 2018)
Feedback not tailored for the ‘snowflake’ generation

Deference-Emotion system
Pride and shame - primary emotions

Shame - anger - alienation

...[if] feedback fails to connect, it comes to be viewed as insignificant or invalid, and so is not given considered attention. At the same time the activity within which it is offered is seen increasingly as unrewarding, and so it is approached perfunctorily, thus rather lessening the likelihood that a more appropriate conception might be apprehended (Hounsell, 1987: 117)
If students do not understand the discourse/language of feedback →

view it as meaningless →
enter cycle of interpretative deprivation →
become detached from assessment process →
become more protective about how they present themselves →
hide further weakness →
feedback becomes increasingly irrelevant →
disengaged from learning
Principles of good formative assessment

- Help clarify what good performance is
- Deliver high quality feedback information that helps learners self-correct
- Provide opportunities to act on feedback
- Ensure that summative assessment has a positive impact on learning
- Encourage interaction and dialogue around learning
- Facilitate the development of self-assessment and reflection in learning
- **Encourage positive motivational beliefs and self-esteem**
- Provide information to teachers that can be used to help shape the teaching

(Re-engineering Assessment Practices, 2010)
Effects of giving good feedback

- Empower & motivate the learner
- Encourage the learner to act on areas of weakness & create a self-directed strategy for development
- Aid the learner to self-regulate their own learning

Process:
Scaffolding
Top-down
Our Research: Case study

Course: Academic Language for Disciplinary Study 4 (2017-2018)

Assessments
1. Listening & self-reflection essay (20%)
2. Presentation (20%)
3. Essay (60%)

- Students given the opportunity to submit a 1st draft for both written CWs
- Students asked to complete a Coversheet when submitting each written CW

CW1 Facts
- Number of CW1’s submitted: 68
- 48 students submitted CW1 draft (71%)
Feedback from 1st draft (i)

Example feedback given on:

- **Comment [I5]:** This paragraph is a bit confusing - I’m not sure what the main point is
- **Comment [I7]:** This is a much better paragraph - much easier to follow your point
- **Comment [I9]:** This sentence is too long - break it up into two or three shorter sentences
- **Comment [I3]:** This could be a separate sentence
- **Comment [I4]:** Great word, but a bit old-fashioned. It’s not really commonly used
- **Comment [I1]:** This isn’t really adding much - but you could add WHY (in general) it is important - then in the rest of your writing add some evidence

What do you notice about the **language used** by the lecturer when giving the feedback?
Feedback from 1st draft (ii)

General comments

1. Nicely written, with some insightful observations. Well done for this first draft.
2. This is a good reflection. Plenty of ideas and personal reflections. Well done - just take care with your grammar.
3. What you write is good, but have you followed the guidelines in terms of the criteria?

Comments focusing on criteria:

- What were the main ideas?
- What, in your opinion, were the most interesting/relevant/useful aspects of the video? Explain why.
- What have you learned from this?
- How can what you have learned be applied to your academic development?

Comment [16]: You have addressed these questions
Comment [17]: You haven’t really addressed these.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did you submit a formative version of this CW for your lecturer to review?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If not please indicate the reason</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinking about the feedback on your formative cw, please indicate what they key points were and what action you took to respond to this feedback to help you prepare for and write this CW</td>
<td>Avoid repetition. Focus on the key message. Be more concise. Use more academic vocabulary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What did you do well / could be improved?</td>
<td>Feedback helped me revise the CW and improve the organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you would like feedback on any particular aspects of your CW, please make a note of what you would like the feedback to address. If nothing is indicated then you will receive only a general comment.</td>
<td>How to improve my grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did you submit a formative version of this CW for your lecturer to review?</td>
<td>Nope.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If not please indicate the reason</td>
<td>I was not able to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinking about the feedback on your formative cw, please indicate what they key points were and what action you took to respond to this feedback to help you prepare for and write this CW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What did you do well / could be improved?</td>
<td>I could do better research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you would like feedback on any particular aspects of your CW, please make a note of what you would like the feedback to address. If nothing is indicated then you will receive only a general comment.</td>
<td>Nope I don’t want to.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feedback Requested from coversheet

The bar chart shows the percentage of feedback requested from different areas:

- **Grammar**: 25.0%
- **Academic Writing**: 17.5%
- **Generic Feedback**: 15.0%
- **Vocabulary**: 12.5%
- **Essay Structure**: 10.0%
- **Punctuation**: 7.5%
- **Higher Grade**: 5.0%
- **Parapraphing**: 2.5%
- **Use of examples**: 2.0%
- **Sentence Structure**: 1.5%
- **Sufficient Evidence**: 1.0%
- **Development of Ideas**: 0.5%
- **Spelling**: 0.0%
- **Referencing**: 0.0%
- **Criticality**: 0.0%
- **Introduction**: 0.0%
- **Content**: 0.0%
Significance of 1st draft feedback on grade

- Submitted 1st draft: 65
- Did not submit 1st draft: 59
Analysis

- Students that submitted a 1st draft gained on average a 6% increase (59 vs 65)
- This is a correlation not a causation

- Feedback of some type is crucial to gaining a higher grade - but some types of feedback are more effective than others - aiming for just enough feedback
Considerations

- Expectations in terms of aims of feedback - explicit
- Assumptions regarding feedback - shared
- Feedback rationale - introduced as part of step-by-step guidance in CW

- Encourage a dialogic approach in giving feedback
- Incorporate more ipsative feedback

- Strategies to engage weaker students
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